arXiv:1609.07563v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 24 Sep 2016

Lattice realization of the generalized chiral symmetry in two dimensions

Tohru Kawarabayashi,[1] Hideo Aoki,[2,3] and Yasuhiro Hatsugai[4]

[1] *Department of Physics, Toho University, Funabashi, 274-8510 Japan*
[2] *Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Hongo, Tokyo 113-0033 Japan*
[3] *Electronics and Photonics Research Institute, Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Umezono, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8568, Japan*
[4] *Institute of Physics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, 305-8571 Japan*

(Dated: September 27, 2016)

While it has been pointed out that the chiral symmetry, which is important for the Dirac fermions in graphene, can be generalized to tilted Dirac fermions as in organic metals, such a generalized symmetry was so far defined only for a continuous low-energy Hamiltonian. Here we show that the generalized chiral symmetry can be rigorously defined for lattice fermions as well. A key concept is a continuous "algebraic deformation" of Hamiltonians, which generates lattice models with the generalized chiral symmetry from those with the conventional chiral symmetry. This enables us to explicitly express zero modes of the deformed Hamiltonian in terms of that of the original Hamiltonian. Another virtue is that the deformation can be extended to non-uniform systems, such as fermion-vortex systems and disordered systems. Application to fermion vortices in a deformed system shows how the zero modes for the conventional Dirac fermions with vortices can be extended to the tilted case.

I. INTRODUCTION

The chiral symmetry has served as one of the important symmetries in classifying the disordered systems [1]. For the two-dimensional massless Dirac fermions as in graphene [2–4], it has been shown that the symmetry protects the zero-mode Landau levels, which gives rise to a criticality of the quantum Hall transition at the charge-neutrality point [5–7]. The chiral symmetry has then been extended to encompass more general cases, namely tilted Dirac fermions such as observed in an organic compound α-(BEDT-TTF)$_2$I$_3$ [8–14], where the robustness of the zero modes is retained for tilted massless as well as massive Dirac fermions [15, 16]. So far, however, the generalization has only been considered for the Dirac field in low-energy, effective Hamiltonians, so that it remains unclear whether lattice fermions respecting the generalized chiral symmetry can be constructed or even exist. Here, we explore exactly this issue, and we shall show how such a generalized chiral symmetry can be extended to lattice fermions. This is not only conceptually interesting, but would also facilitate numerical analyses based on lattice models to clarify the effect of symmetry. A key idea here is an introduction of a "continuous deformation" of Hamiltonians having the generalized chiral symmetry. The deformation, which does not change the basic profile of the zero-energy state, can be applied not only to effective Hamiltonians in the continuum limit, but also to lattice models. The deformation also turns out to be applicable to spatially non-uniform systems, so we shall discuss as a spin-off how the zero-energy solutions in the fermion-vortex system considered by Jackiw and Rossi [17, 18] are generalized for tilted Dirac fermions.

The conventional chiral symmetry is defined by the chiral operator Γ (with $\Gamma^2 = 1$) that anti-commutes with the Hamiltonian H ($\Gamma H \Gamma = -H$). For the conventional Dirac fermions as in graphene, the low-energy, effective Hamiltonian is expressed as $H_0 = v_F(\sigma_x p_x \pm \sigma_y p_y)$. The conventional chiral operator is then simply $\Gamma = \pm\sigma_z$. Here $(\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)$ are Pauli matrices and (p_x, p_y) the momentum. The conventional chiral symmetry is defined not only for effective Hamiltonians, but also for lattice models with a bipartite structure. The two-dimensional honeycomb lattice for graphene is indeed typical, where the lattice can be divided into A and B sub-lattices with transfer integrals only between A and B. It is then straightforward to see that the chiral operator reads, on the lattice, $\Gamma = \exp(\mathrm{i}\pi \sum_{n\in A} c_n^\dagger c_n)$, where $c_n^\dagger(c_n)$ denotes the creation (annihilation) operator of an electron at atomic site n. On the other hand, the generalized chiral symmetry has so far been defined only for the low-energy, effective Hamiltonian having tilted Dirac cones. Thus our goal is to explicitly construct or even generate systematically lattice models that have the rigorous generalized chiral symmetry.

Let us begin with the generalized chiral symmetry defined by the generalized chiral operator γ ($\gamma^2 = 1$), which is not hermitian but satisfies $\gamma^\dagger H \gamma = -H$ [15, 16]. For general tilted Dirac fermions described by the effective Hamiltonian,

$$H = [-X_0 + (\boldsymbol{X}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma})]p_x + [-Y_0 + (\boldsymbol{Y}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma})]p_y$$

with $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $\boldsymbol{Y}$ being three-dimensional real vectors, such γ exists as long as a condition, $(\boldsymbol{X}\times\boldsymbol{Y})^2 - \boldsymbol{\eta}^2 > 0$, is fullfilled with $\boldsymbol{\eta} = Y_0\boldsymbol{X} - X_0\boldsymbol{Y}$, which is equivalent to the ellipticity of the Hamiltonian H as a differential operator [19]. An explicit expression for the generalized chiral operator γ is

$$\gamma = T\,\Gamma\,T^{-1}, \qquad (1)$$
$$T = \exp(q\boldsymbol{\tau}_0\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}/2),$$

where $\boldsymbol{\tau}_0$ is a unit vector parallel to $(\boldsymbol{X}\times\boldsymbol{Y})\times\boldsymbol{\eta}$ and $\tanh q = |\boldsymbol{\eta}|/|\boldsymbol{X}\times\boldsymbol{Y}|$. The conventional chiral operator

Γ for the vertical Dirac fermion ($X_0 = Y_0 = 0$) is given by $\Gamma = (\boldsymbol{X} \times \boldsymbol{Y}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}/|\boldsymbol{X} \times \boldsymbol{Y}|$. Note that the operator T is not unitary but hermitian ($T^\dagger = T$) with q real as long as $|\boldsymbol{X} \times \boldsymbol{Y}| > |\boldsymbol{\eta}|$. We shall use the algebraic expression (1) for the generalized chiral operator γ in terms of the conventional chiral operator Γ to propose a systematic deformation of Hamiltonians preserving the generalized chiral symmetry.

The present paper is organized as follows. After the introduction in section 2, we extend in section 3 our deformation to lattice fermions, where Dirac fermions are always doubled. In section 4. we analyze the consequences of our deformation in lattice models with the translational invariance. An application to the zero modes of the fermion-vortex system where the translational invariance is broken, is given in section 5. Section 6 is devoted to summary.

II. q-DEFORMATION FOR SINGLE DIRAC FERMION

Before a full description of the general deformation for lattice fermions, let us first discuss, for illustrative purpose, a deformation for effective, single Dirac fermions. We define a deformation $H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)$ of the original effective Hamiltonian H_0 as

$$H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q) = [T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)]^{-1}\ H_0\ [T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)]^{-1} \tag{2}$$

with

$$T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q) = \exp\left(\frac{q}{2}\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right),$$

where $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ denotes an *arbitrary* unit vector and q a real parameter. Note that $H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)$ is hermitian, since $T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)$ is. We assume that the original Hamiltonian H_0 respects the conventional chiral symmetry, so that there exists a chiral operator Γ satisfying $\Gamma H_0 \Gamma = -H_0$ with $\Gamma^2 = 1$. We then define a generalized chiral operator γ by

$$\gamma = T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)\ \Gamma\ [T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)]^{-1}. \tag{3}$$

It is straightforward to see that

$$\gamma^\dagger H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)\gamma = [T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)]^{-1}\Gamma H_0 \Gamma [T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)]^{-1} = -H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q),\ \gamma^2 = 1. \tag{4}$$

The deformation (2) therefore generates systems with the exact generalized chiral symmetry from those with the conventional chiral symmetry.

One of the important properties of this deformation is that the wave function of zero modes are explicitly given in terms of those of the original Hamiltonian H_0. If we have a zero mode ψ_0 of the original H_0 satisfying $H_0\psi_0 = 0$, the corresponding zero-mode of the deformed Hamiltonian is given by a simple transformation $T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)\psi_0$, since $H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)[T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)\psi_0] = T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{-1}(q)H_0\psi_0 = 0$. The zero modes are thus retained by this deformation. Furthermore, if we recall that the zero modes of the original Hamiltonian can be taken as the eigenstates of the chiral operator Γ as $\Gamma\psi_0 = \pm\psi_0$, the transformed zero modes $T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)\psi_0$ of the deformed Hamiltonian become the exact eigenstates of the generalized chiral operator γ as $\gamma\ T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)\psi_0 = T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)\Gamma\psi_0 = \pm T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)\psi_0$. We can also note that the determinant of the Hamiltonian is invariant in the deformation ($\det H_q = \det H_0$), which follows from $\det T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q) = 1$.

It is verified directly that the present deformation indeed produces tilted Dirac fermions from vertical Dirac fermions. Namely, from $H_0 = (\boldsymbol{X}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma})p_x + (\boldsymbol{Y}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma})p_y$ for vertical Dirac fermions, we obtain

$$H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q) = (-X_0' + \boldsymbol{X}'\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma})p_x + (-Y_0' + \boldsymbol{Y}'\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma})p_y$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} &X_0' = \sinh q(\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{X}),\ Y_0' = \sinh q(\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{Y}) \\ &\boldsymbol{X}' = \boldsymbol{X} + (\cosh q - 1)(\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{X})\boldsymbol{\tau}, \\ &\boldsymbol{Y}' = \boldsymbol{Y} + (\cosh q - 1)(\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{Y})\boldsymbol{\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

This is nothing but the Hamiltonian for the tilted Dirac fermions except for the case $\boldsymbol{\tau} \propto (\boldsymbol{X}\times\boldsymbol{Y})$.

Here the vector $\boldsymbol{\tau}$, which defines the present deformation, can be chosen arbitrarily, and is in principle independent of the vector $\boldsymbol{n} = \boldsymbol{X}\times\boldsymbol{Y}/|\boldsymbol{X}\times\boldsymbol{Y}|$ characterizing the conventional chiral operator, $\Gamma = \boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. However, we can emphasize that, even when the vector $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ has a component parallel to $\boldsymbol{n}$, such a component does not contribute to the tilting of the Dirac fermions, hence to the breaking of the conventional chiral symmetry. If we resolve $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ into the components parallel $\boldsymbol{\tau}_\parallel$ and perpendicular $\boldsymbol{\tau}_\perp$ to $\boldsymbol{n}$, we see that the parameters (X_0', Y_0') are indeed determined only by $\boldsymbol{\tau}_\perp$, since $(\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{X}) = (\boldsymbol{\tau}_\perp\cdot\boldsymbol{X})$ and $(\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{Y}) = (\boldsymbol{\tau}_\perp\cdot\boldsymbol{Y})$. In the present paper, we therefore focus ourselves on the deformation where $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ is perpendicular to $\boldsymbol{n}$.

III. GENERALIZATION TO LATTICE FERMIONS

A. General formalism

Now we show that the deformation can be extended to lattice models. Generally, the Hamiltonian of a lattice model that can be reduced to a form,

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \begin{pmatrix} D_z & D_x - \mathrm{i}D_y \\ D_x + \mathrm{i}D_y & -D_z \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \boldsymbol{D}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma} \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

with D_x, D_y and D_z being $N\times N$ hermitian matrices, has the chiral symmetry if there exits a real vector $\boldsymbol{n} = (n_x, n_y, n_z)$ with $\boldsymbol{n}^2 = 1$ satisfying the condition

$$\boldsymbol{D}\cdot\boldsymbol{n} = 0.$$

This can be verified with the chiral operator defined as

$$\Gamma = I_N \otimes \boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}$$

that anti-commutes with the Hamiltonian, $\Gamma H \Gamma = -H$ and $\Gamma^2 = I_{2N}$, where I_N denotes the $N \times N$ identity matrix.

To be more specific, we consider a lattice model with a bipartite structure respecting the conventional chiral symmetry. Bipartite lattice models can be expressed as

$$H_{\rm c} = \begin{pmatrix} O & D_x - iD_y \\ D_x + iD_y & O \end{pmatrix} = D_x \otimes \sigma_x + D_y \otimes \sigma_y$$

in a basis $(a_1, \ldots, a_N, b_1, \ldots, b_N)$, where $a_i(b_i)$ denotes the basis on the A(B) sub-lattice in the ith unit cell. The conventional chiral operator can then be defined as

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} I_N & O \\ O & -I_N \end{pmatrix} = I_N \otimes \sigma_z$$

by setting $\boldsymbol{n} = (0, 0, 1)$.

We then define the deformation of such a chiral symmetric Hamiltonian as

$$H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q) = [T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)]^{-1}\ H_{\rm c}\ [T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)]^{-1}$$

with

$$T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q) = I_N \otimes \exp\left(\frac{q}{2}\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right),$$

where the generalized chiral operator is

$$\gamma = T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)\ \Gamma\ [T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)]^{-1}.$$

Here $\boldsymbol{\tau} = (\tau_x, \tau_y, \tau_z)$ is an arbitrary three-dimensional real vector with the unit length ($\boldsymbol{\tau}^2 = 1$) and q a real parameter. We can readily see that the deformed lattice Hamiltonian $H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)$ respects the generalized chiral symmetry, $\gamma^\dagger H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)\gamma = -H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)$. It should be noted that this deformation can be performed for a wide variety of systems with or without the translational invariance, which cover disordered systems and fermion-vortex systems.

In this representation, the deformation becomes nontrivial only for the cases $\tau_x \neq 0$ or $\tau_y \neq 0$. As discussed toward the end of Section 2, we assume $\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{n} = 0$, namely $\tau_z = 0$, for the deformation of bipartite lattice models. A non-trivial deformation respecting the time-reversal symmetry is therefore possible only when $\tau_x \neq 0$ and $\tau_y = 0$, for which the matrix $T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q) = I_N \otimes \exp(q\sigma_x/2)$ becomes real and symmetric (see Appendix A). By contrast, a deformation with $\tau_y \neq 0$,e.g. $T_{\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}}(q) = \exp(q\sigma_y/2)$, would break the time-reversal invariance because the matrix $T_{\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}}(q)$ has complex matrix elements that induce additional complex transfer integrals of the deformed lattice Hamiltonians.

Since we can assume $\tau_z = 0$, we can represent $\boldsymbol{\tau} = (\cos\theta, \sin\theta, 0)$. The matrix $T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)$ is then given by

$$T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q) = = I_N \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\frac{q}{2} & e^{-{\rm i}\theta}\sinh\frac{q}{2} \\ e^{{\rm i}\theta}\sinh\frac{q}{2} & \cosh\frac{q}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that we have a relation $\Gamma\ T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q) = T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(-q)\ \Gamma$. The deformed Hamiltonian $H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q) = T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)^{-1}\ H_{\rm c}\ T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)^{-1}$ then becomes

$$H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q) = -\frac{\sinh q}{2}(e^{{\rm i}\theta}D + e^{-{\rm i}\theta}D^\dagger) \otimes I_2 + \begin{pmatrix} O & D_q \\ D_q^\dagger & O \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$D_q = D\cosh^2\frac{q}{2} + e^{-2{\rm i}\theta}D^\dagger\sinh^2\frac{q}{2}$$

with $D \equiv D_x - iD_y$. If we further define

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{H}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q) &\equiv H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(-q) \\ &= H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q) + (e^{{\rm i}\theta}D + e^{-{\rm i}\theta}D^\dagger)\sinh q \otimes I_2,\end{aligned}$$

we have a simple relation,

$$\bar{H}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q) = T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)H_{\rm c}^2[T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)]^{-1},$$

which can be compared with the counterpart in the continuum [16]. If we denote the eigenstate of the Hamiltonian $H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)$ with an eigenenergy E as ψ_E, this relation implies

$$\begin{aligned}&\bar{H}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)\psi_E \\ &\quad= \left[E^2 I_{2N} + E(e^{{\rm i}\theta}D + e^{-{\rm i}\theta}D^\dagger)\sinh q \otimes I_2\right]\psi_E \\ &\quad= T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)H_{\rm c}^2 T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)^{-1}\psi_E,\end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned}T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)\left[H_{\rm c}^2 - E(e^{{\rm i}\theta}D + e^{-{\rm i}\theta}D^\dagger)\sinh q \otimes I_2\right]T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)^{-1}\psi_E \\ = E^2\psi_E.\end{aligned}$$

Completing the square as

$$\begin{aligned}&H_{\rm c}^2 - (e^{{\rm i}\theta}D + e^{-{\rm i}\theta}D^\dagger)E\sinh q \otimes I_2 \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} O & D \\ D^\dagger & O \end{pmatrix}^2 - (e^{{\rm i}\theta}D + e^{-{\rm i}\theta}D^\dagger)E\sinh q \otimes I_2 \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} O & D(E) \\ D(E)^\dagger & O \end{pmatrix}^2 - E^2\sinh^2 q \otimes I_{2N},\end{aligned}$$

where $D(E) \equiv D - Ee^{-{\rm i}\theta}\sinh q$ and we have defined a nonorthogonal state Ψ with an "overlap" matrix $T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(2q)$ as

$$\Psi = T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)^{-1}\psi_E, \quad \Psi^\dagger T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(2q)\Psi = \psi_E^\dagger\psi_E = 1,$$

we arrive at a simplified eigenvalue problem,

$$[H_q^R(E)]^2\Psi = E^2\Psi,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}H_q^R(E) &= \begin{pmatrix} O & D_R(E) \\ D_R^\dagger(E) & O \end{pmatrix}, \\ D_R(E) &= \frac{1}{\cosh q}(D - Ee^{-{\rm i}\theta}\sinh q).\end{aligned}$$

B. Chiral symmetry breaking for lattice fermions

We can utilize the above formulation for discussing the effect of the symmetry breaking when a mass term, $m\Gamma$, is introduced. In the presence of the mass term, the deformed Hamiltonian becomes

$$H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{(m)}(q) = T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)^{-1}(H_{\rm c} + m\Gamma)T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)^{-1} = H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q) + m\Gamma.$$

If we define $\bar{H}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{(m)}(q) = \bar{H}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q) + m\Gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{H}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{(m)}(q)H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{(m)}(q) &= \bar{H}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q) + m^2 \\ &= T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)(H_{\rm c}^2 + m^2)T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying these operators to an eigenstate $\psi_E^{(m)}$ of $H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}&\bar{H}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{(m)}(q)H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{(m)}(q)\psi_E^{(m)} = \\ &= [E^2 + E(e^{{\rm i}\theta}D + e^{-{\rm i}\theta}D^\dagger)\sinh q + m^2]\psi_E^{(m)} \\ &= T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)(H_C^2 + m^2)T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)^{-1}\psi_E^{(m)},\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\left[H_{\rm c}^2 - (e^{{\rm i}\theta}D + e^{-{\rm i}\theta}D^\dagger)E\sinh q + m^2\right]\Psi^m = E^2\Psi^m$$

with $\Psi^m = T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)^{-1}\psi_E^{(m)}$. We finally arrive at

$$\left\{[(H_q^R(E)]^2 + m_R^2\right\}\Psi^m = E^2\Psi^m, \qquad (6)$$

$$m_R = \frac{m}{\cosh q}.$$

This means that E^2 is generally lower-bounded by m_R^2. Furthermore, if we have a zero mode for $H_q^R(m_R)$ with

$$H_q^R(m_R)\Psi^m = 0,$$

the eigenvalue E of Eq.(6) is exactly $\pm m_R$ with an energy gap $2m_R$. The sign of E can be determined to be consistent with the sign in the limit $q \to 0$. If we assume that the eigenstate is that of the chiral operator Γ with an eigenvalue $+1$ as

$$\Psi^m = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_+^m \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

then the equation becomes

$$D_R(m_R)^\dagger\varphi_+^m = \frac{1}{\cosh q}(D^\dagger - m_R e^{{\rm i}\theta}\sinh q)\varphi_+^m = 0.$$

Note that in this case the energy must be positive, since it must approach to $+m$ for $q \to 0$.

For a translationally invariant system, this reduces for each momentum sector specified by a wave vector $\boldsymbol{k}$ to

$$d^*(\boldsymbol{k}) - m_R e^{{\rm i}\theta}\sinh q = 0, \qquad (7)$$

where $d(\boldsymbol{k})$ is a complex number which appears in the Hamiltonian in the momentum space as

$$H_{\boldsymbol{k}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d(\boldsymbol{k}) \\ d^*(\boldsymbol{k}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (8)$$

The existence of doubled Dirac fermions at $\pm\boldsymbol{k}_0$ means that $d(\pm\boldsymbol{k}_0) = 0$ and the phases of the complex number $d(\boldsymbol{k})$ becomes indefinite at those points (Fig. 1). Assuming the continuity of a complex number $d(\boldsymbol{k})$ around the $\pm\boldsymbol{k}_0$, we may choose $\boldsymbol{k}$ to satisfy the above equation (7) provided that the amplitude $m_R\sinh q$ is small enough.



FIG. 1. (Color Online) The absolute value $|d(\boldsymbol{k})|$ of the complex number $d(\boldsymbol{k}) = |d(\boldsymbol{k})|e^{{\rm i}\theta_d}$ for the honeycomb lattice around valley K, where $\boldsymbol{k}_0 = (-\frac{4\pi}{3\sqrt{3}a}, 0)$ with a bond length. The curves starting from $\boldsymbol{k}_0$ (center of the figure) for phases $\theta_d = 0$, $\pm\pi/20$, $\pm\pi/4$, $\pm\pi/2$, $\pm 3\pi/4$, π and the contours for $|d(\boldsymbol{k})| = 0.25$, 0.5, 0.75 are shown. The phase θ_d changes from 0 to 2π as we go around the singular point $\boldsymbol{k}_0$.

Similarly, for an eigenstate in the form

$$\Psi^m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \varphi_-^m \end{pmatrix},$$

we have the exact eigenvalue $-m_R$, if φ_-^m satisfies the equation

$$D_R(-m_R)\varphi_-^m = \frac{1}{\cosh q}(D + m_R e^{-{\rm i}\theta}\sinh q)\varphi_-^m = 0.$$

Another important observation is that the exact zero modes of the deformed Hamiltonian, which is an eigenstate of the generalized chiral operator, has the energy expectation value $\pm m_R$ in the presence of the mass term $m\Gamma$ even for systems without translational invariance. Let us assume that there exist a zero mode ψ_+^c for an original Hamiltonian $H_{\rm c}$ satisfying

$$D^\dagger\phi_+^c = 0,$$

where

$$\psi_+^c = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_+^c \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } H_{\rm c} = \begin{pmatrix} O & D \\ D^\dagger & O \end{pmatrix}$$

with the normalization $(\psi_+^c)^\dagger\psi_+^c = 1$. Now we define a state,

$$\psi_+^m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\cosh q}}T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)\psi_+^c,$$

which is an exact zero modes of the deformed Hamiltonian $H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)$. We then have an expectation value,

$$(\psi_+^m)^\dagger[H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q) + m\Gamma]\psi_+^m = m/\cosh q = m_R,$$

since $H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)\psi_+^m = 0$ and $m(\psi_+^m)^\dagger\Gamma\psi_+^m = +m_R$. The expectation value of the Hamiltonian for the state ψ_+^m gives the same value as the lower bound of the positive energy.

Similarly for the state

$$\psi_-^{-m} = \frac{1}{\cosh q} T_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q)\psi_-^c,$$

with

$$\psi_-^c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \phi_-^c \end{pmatrix}, \quad D\phi_-^c = 0,$$

we have

$$(\psi_-^m)^\dagger[H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q) + m\Gamma]\psi_-^m = -m/\cosh q = -m_R,$$

because $m(\psi_-^m)^\dagger\Gamma\psi_-^m = -m_R$, which coincides with the upper bound of the negative energy.

IV. TRANSLATIONALLY INVARIANT SYSTEMS

For systems with the translational invariance, the Hamiltonian can be reduced, in the momentum space, to a form (8) and the deformed Hamiltonian given as

$$H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q) = \exp\left(-\frac{q}{2}\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right) H_{\boldsymbol{k}} \exp\left(-\frac{q}{2}\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right)$$

with $\boldsymbol{\tau} = (\cos\theta, \sin\theta, 0)$ then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(q) = &-\sinh q \mathrm{Re}(e^{\mathrm{i}\theta} d(\boldsymbol{k})) \\ &+ \left[\cosh^2\frac{q}{2}\mathrm{Re}(d(\boldsymbol{k})) + \sinh^2\frac{q}{2}\mathrm{Re}(e^{\mathrm{i}2\theta}d(\boldsymbol{k}))\right]\sigma_x \\ &- \left[\cosh^2\frac{q}{2}\mathrm{Im}(d(\boldsymbol{k})) - \sinh^2\frac{q}{2}\mathrm{Im}(e^{\mathrm{i}2\theta}d(\boldsymbol{k}))\right]\sigma_y. \end{aligned}$$

In the following, we consider two examples of translationally invariant bipartite lattice models, namely, honeycomb lattice and the π-flux model on the square lattice.

A. honeycomb lattice

For the honeycomb lattice having only the nearest-neighbor hopping t, we have [20]

$$d(\boldsymbol{k}) = t[1 + \exp(-\mathrm{i}k_1) + \exp(-\mathrm{i}k_2)],$$

where (k_1, k_2) denotes $(\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{e}_1, \boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{e}_2)$ with the primitive vectors $(\boldsymbol{e}_1, \boldsymbol{e}_2)$ of the honeycomb lattice (Fig. 2) defined as $\boldsymbol{e}_1 = (\sqrt{3}/2\boldsymbol{e}_x + 3/2\boldsymbol{e}_y)a$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_2 = (-\sqrt{3}/2\boldsymbol{e}_x + 3/2\boldsymbol{e}_y)a$. Here $\boldsymbol{e}_{x(y)}$ stands for the unit vector along $x(y)$ and a the nearest-neighbor distance of the honeycomb lattice.

First, we consider the deformation respecting the time-reversal invariance, where $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} = (1, 0, 0)$, namely $\theta = 0$. The deformed Hamiltonian then becomes

$$H_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q) = -\sinh q\ \mathrm{Re}(d) I_2 + \cosh q\ \mathrm{Re}(d)\sigma_x - \mathrm{Im}(d)\sigma_y,$$

	X_0	Y_0	$\boldsymbol{X}$	$\boldsymbol{Y}$
$H_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q)$ at K	$\sinh q$	0	$(\cosh q, 0, 0)$	$(0, -1, 0)$
$H_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q)$ at K'	$-\sinh q$	0	$(-\cosh q, 0, 0)$	$(0, -1, 0)$
$H_{\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}}(q)$ at K	0	$-\sinh q$	$(1, 0, 0)$	$(0, -\cosh q, 0)$
$H_{\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}}(q)$ at K'	0	$-\sinh q$	$(-1, 0, 0)$	$(0, -\cosh q, 0)$

TABLE I. For the honeycomb lattice the parameters $(X_0, Y_0, \boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{Y})$ normalized by $3ta/2\hbar$ are given for the effective massless Dirac Hamiltonian $(-X_0 + \boldsymbol{X}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma})p_x + (-Y_0 + \boldsymbol{Y}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma})p_y$ at valleys K and K'. For a general case where $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ is given by $(\cos\theta, \sin\theta, 0)$, we have $X_0 = \sinh q\cos\theta$, $Y_0 = -\sinh q\sin\theta$, $\boldsymbol{X} = (\cosh^2\frac{q}{2} + \sinh^2\frac{q}{2}\cos 2\theta, \sinh^2\frac{q}{2}\sin 2\theta, 0)$, $\boldsymbol{Y} = (-\sinh^2\frac{q}{2}\sin 2\theta, -\cosh^2\frac{q}{2} + \sinh^2\frac{q}{2}\cos 2\theta, 0)$ for valley K. The parameters for valley K' are obtained by reversing the sign of X_0 and $\boldsymbol{X}$.

where I_2 is the 2×2 identity matrix. The corresponding hoppings are displayed in Fig. 2 (b). The energy dispersion becomes

$$\begin{aligned} E_q(k_1, k_2) = &-\mathrm{Re}(d)\sinh q \\ &\pm\sqrt{(\mathrm{Re}(d))^2\cosh^2 q + (\mathrm{Im}(d))^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the symmetry $E_q(k_1, k_2) = E_q(-k_1, -k_2)$ required by the time-reversal invariance (Appendix A) is satisfied. In this representation, K and K' points are at $(k_1, k_2) = (-2\pi/3, +2\pi/3)$ and $(2\pi/3, -2\pi/3)$, respectively. If we expand the Hamiltonian around the K point, we have

$$H_{\mathrm{K}}^{\theta=0} = \frac{3ta}{2\hbar}(-\sinh q\ p_x I_2 + \cosh q\ p_x\sigma_x - p_y\sigma_y)$$

where an effective momentum $\boldsymbol{p} = \hbar\delta\boldsymbol{k}$ is defined in terms of $\delta\boldsymbol{k} = \boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{k}_0$ with $\boldsymbol{k}_0$ being the wave vector at the K point. Similarly, we can derive the effective Hamiltonian for valley K' (Table I). We see that the isotropic and vertical Dirac fermions at the valleys K and K' of the honeycomb lattice are deformed into anisotropic and tilted Dirac fermions (Table I and Fig. 3). Note that the tilting directions are opposite in the two valleys K and K' due to the time-reversal invariance.

In the present system, the staggered potential plays a role of the mass term, $m\sigma_z$. If we include this term, the energy dispersion is modified to

$$\begin{aligned} E_q^m(k_1, k_2) = &-\mathrm{Re}(d)\sinh q \\ &\pm\sqrt{(\mathrm{Re}(d))^2\cosh^2 q + (\mathrm{Im}(d))^2 + m^2}. \end{aligned}$$

As discussed in Section 3, this can be rewritten in a form

$$d_R^*(E_q)d_R(E_q) + m_R^2 = E_q^2$$

with $d_R(E_q) = [d(\boldsymbol{k}) - E_q\sinh q]/\cosh q$. The energy gap is therefore given exactly as $\pm m_R = \pm m/\cosh q$ as long as we have a solution for $d(\boldsymbol{k}) - m_R\sinh q = 0$, which is guaranteed for $m\tanh q \le 3t$.

Next, we consider the case $\theta = \pi/2$, where the deformation operator $T_{\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}}(q) = \exp(q\sigma_y/2)$ breaks the time-reversal invariance. For such a case, we find

$$H_{\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}}(q) = \sinh q\ \mathrm{Im}(d) I_2 + \mathrm{Re}(d)\sigma_x - \cosh q\ \mathrm{Im}(d)\sigma_y$$

with an energy dispersion,

$$E_q(k_1,k_2) = \mathrm{Im}(d)\sinh q \pm\sqrt{(\mathrm{Re}(d))^2+(\mathrm{Im}(d))^2\cosh^2 q},$$

in which we have a symmetry $E_q(-k_1,-k_2)= E_{-q}(k_1,k_2)$ (see Appendix A). In this case, the Dirac cones at K and K' are tilted in the same direction (Fig. 4). The parameters for the effective low-energy Hamiltonian at K and K' points are summarized in Table I.



FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Honeycomb lattice, for which a unit cell (shaded) amd the primitive vectors $\boldsymbol{e}_1 = (\sqrt{3}/2, 3/2)a$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_2 = (-\sqrt{3}/2, 3/2)a$ are indicated. The filled (open) circles represent A(B) sub-lattice sites. (b) q-deformed honeycomb lattice model with $T_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q)$, where second-neighbor (NN) hopping $-(t/2)\sinh q$ (dashed lines) and the 4th-neighbor hopping $(t/2)(\cosh q - 1)$ (dotted) are generated. The NN hoppings are also modified to $(t/2)(\cosh q + 1)$ (thin solid lines) across unit cells and $t\cosh q$ (thick solid lines) within a unit cell. The potential energies are also modified uniformly to $-t\sinh q$.



FIG. 3. (Color online) Energy dispersions of the honeycomb lattice deformed by $T_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q)$ with $q = 0$ (left panel), 0.5 (center) and 1.0 (right). Dirac cones at K and K' points are tilted along the $+k_x$ and $-k_x$ directions, respectively.

B. π-flux model

Another lattice model of interest is the π-flux model on the square lattice[15, 21, 22] as depicted in Fig. 5(a). The Hamiltonian in real space is given by

$$H_{\pi-\mathrm{flux}} = -t\sum_{x,y}\left[(-1)^{x+y}c^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{r}}c_{\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{e}_x} + c^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{r}}c_{\boldsymbol{r}+\boldsymbol{e}_y}\right] + \mathrm{H.c.},$$



FIG. 4. (Color online) Energy dispersions of the honeycomb lattice deformed by T-breaking $T_{\boldsymbol{y}}(q)$ with $q = 0$ (left panel), 0.5 (center) and 1.0 (right). Dirac cones at K and K' points are both tilted along the $-k_y$ direction.

	X_0	Y_0	$\boldsymbol{X}$	$\boldsymbol{Y}$
$H_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q)$ at K	0	$\sinh q$	$(0,-1,0)$	$(\cosh q, 0, 0)$
$H_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q)$ at K'	0	$-\sinh q$	$(0,-1,0)$	$(-\cosh q, 0, 0)$
$H_{\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}}(q)$ at K	$-\sinh q$	0	$(0,-\cosh q, 0)$	$(1,0,0)$
$H_{\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}}(q)$ at K'	$-\sinh q$	0	$(0,-\cosh q, 0)$	$(-1,0,0)$

TABLE II. For the π-flux model the parameters $(X_0, Y_0, \boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{Y})$ normalized by $2ta/\hbar$ are given for the effective massless Dirac Hamiltonian $(-X_0+\boldsymbol{X}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma})p_x+(-Y_0+\boldsymbol{Y}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma})p_y$ at valleys K and K'. For a general case where $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ is given by $(\cos\theta, \sin\theta, 0)$, we have $X_0 = -\sinh q\sin\theta$, $Y_0 = \sinh q\cos\theta$, $\boldsymbol{X} = (-\sinh^2\frac{q}{2}\sin 2\theta, -\cosh^2\frac{q}{2} + \sinh^2\frac{q}{2}\cos 2\theta, 0)$, $\boldsymbol{Y} = (\cosh^2\frac{q}{2} + \sinh^2\frac{q}{2}\cos 2\theta, \sinh^2\frac{q}{2}\sin 2\theta, 0)$ for valley K. The parameters for valley K' are obtained by reversing the sign of Y_0 and $\boldsymbol{Y}$.

where $\boldsymbol{r} = x\boldsymbol{e}_x + y\boldsymbol{e}_y$ with x, y : integers. For this model, we have [15, 20]

$$d(\boldsymbol{k}) = -t\left[-1+\exp(-\mathrm{i}k_1)+\exp(-\mathrm{i}k_2)+\exp(-\mathrm{i}(k_1+k_2))\right]$$

where $(k_1, k_2) = (\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{e}_1, \boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{e}_2)$ with the primitive vectors for the π-flux model shown in Fig. 5(b), $(\boldsymbol{e}_1, \boldsymbol{e}_2) = (\boldsymbol{e}_x - \boldsymbol{e}_y, \boldsymbol{e}_x + \boldsymbol{e}_y)$ with the nearest-neighbor distance of the square lattice taken as the unit of length. This model has two Dirac points (which we shall also call K and K') at $(k_x, k_y) = (0, \pi/2)$ and $(0, -\pi/2)$.

The parameters of the effective Hamiltonians at K and K' for $H_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q)$ and $H_{\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}}(q)$ are given in Table II. Again, we find that the Dirac cones are tilted in opposite directions (Fig. 6 (a)) by the deformation $T_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q)$ due to the time-reversal invariance, while the cones are tilted in the same direction (Fig. 6 (b)) for $H_{\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}}(q)$.

It is to be remarked that the tilting direction in the π-flux model by the same operator $T_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q)$ (or $T_{\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}}(q)$) is different from that in the honeycomb lattice. This is a clear demonstration of the fact that the tilting direction is actually determined not only by the choice of $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ but by the parameters $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $\boldsymbol{Y}$ in the effective Hamiltonian at each valley.



FIG. 5. (a) The π-flux model on a square lattice, where we display a unit cell (shaded) and the primitive vectors $\boldsymbol{e}_1 = (1, -1)$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_2 = (1, 1)$ with the distance between the nearest-neighbor sites taken to be the unit of length. Thin lines represent the hopping amplitude $-t$ while the thick ones are t. The filled (open) circles denote A(B) sub-lattice sites. (b) q-deformed π-flux model with $T_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q)$. The hopping amplitudes are given by $t_0 = -(t/2)(\cosh q + 1)$, $t_1 = t\cosh q$, $t_2 = (t/2)\sinh q$ and $t_3 = -(t/2)(\cosh q - 1)$. The potential energies are also modified uniformly to $-t\sinh q$.



FIG. 6. (Color online) Energy dispersions of the π-flux model deformed by (a) $T_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q)$ and by (b) $T_{\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}}(q)$ with $q = 0.5$. Dirac cones at K and K' points are tilted along $+k_y$ and $-k_y$ directions, respectively, for $T_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q)$, while they are both tilted in the $-k_x$ direction for $T_{\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}}(q)$. The site energies are also modified uniformly to $-t\sinh q$.

V. APPLICATION TO FERMION-VORTEX SYSTEMS

One of the advantages of the present deformation scheme is that it can be applied to systems without translational invariance. Let us then take an example in the fermion-vortex system, where the zero modes are expected to accommodate fractionally charged states [23–25]. For a fermion-vortex system, it has been shown that there exist n zero-energy states localized around the vortex with a winding number n [17]. For a conventional fermion-vortex system, the Dirac cones are vertical and therefore the lattice models considered in the previous studies respect the conventional chiral symmetry. Then the zero-energy states are simply eigenstates of the chiral operator having their amplitudes only on one of the A(B) sub-lattices. Thus it is intriguing to see how the zero-energy states would be modified for tilted Dirac fermions, so we apply the present deformation with the generalized chiral symmetry preserved to vortex systems.

As the starting Hamiltonian, we consider a vortex of a dimer order in the π-flux model as shown Fig. (7) [25]. For that purpose, we introduce to the Hamiltonian $H_{\pi-\text{flux}}$ four types of dimer orders,

$$H^{\pm x}_{\text{dimer}} = \mp\delta t \sum_{\boldsymbol{r}\in A}(-1)^y (c^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{r}+\boldsymbol{e}_y} c_{\boldsymbol{r}} - c^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{e}_y} c_{\boldsymbol{r}}) + \text{H.c.},$$
$$H^{\pm y}_{\text{dimer}} = \pm\delta t \sum_{\boldsymbol{r}\in A}(-1)^y (c^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{r}+\boldsymbol{e}_x} c_{\boldsymbol{r}} + c^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{e}_x} c_{\boldsymbol{r}}) + \text{H.c.}.$$

We arrange these four orders (shaded in different colors in Fig.7), i.e., H^{+x}_{dimer}, H^{+y}_{dimer}, H^{-x}_{dimer} and H^{-y}_{dimer} are introduced in the regions $x > |y|$, $y > |x|$, $x < -|y|$ and $y < -|x|$, respectively. Then we have a vortex at the center, which is assumed to be on B sublattice without a loss of generality. When the whole system is covered by one of the dimer orders, for example by H^{-x}_{dimer}, the dimer order mixes the two Dirac points K and K', and the energies $E(\boldsymbol{k})$ is given by $E(\boldsymbol{k}) = \pm 2[(\sin^2 k_x + \cos^2 k_y) + (\delta t)^2(\sin^2 k_y)]^{1/2}$ with a gap $\pm 2\delta t$ at $(k_x, k_y) = (0, \pm\pi/2)$. The effective low-energy Hamiltonian can be expressed with a basis $\{\text{K}_A,\ i\text{K}_B,\ \text{K'}_A,\ i\text{K'}_B\}$ as

$$H_{\text{eff}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha k_- & 0 & -\Delta \\ \alpha k_+ & 0 & \Delta & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta^* & 0 & \alpha k_+ \\ -\Delta^* & 0 & \alpha k_- & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $k_\pm = k_x \pm \mathrm{i}k_y$, $\alpha = 2ta$, and $\Delta = |\Delta|e^{\mathrm{i}\theta}$ with $|\Delta| = 2\delta t$. The phases of Δ for the orders H^{-x}_{dimer}, H^{+x}_{dimer} and $H^{\pm y}_{\text{dimer}}$ can be assigned as $\theta = 0$, π, and $\pm\pi/2$, respectively. The winding number n of the present vortex is thus $n = -1$. It has been shown [17, 23] that such a vortex has a zero-energy state residing only on the B sub-lattice. This can be verified numerically by diagonalizing the Hamiltonian for a finite system with the vortex (Fig. 7(a)), where the zero mode localized at the vortex indeed has its amplitude only on the B sub-lattice (Fig. 7 (b)).

The generalization of such zero modes to the tilted Dirac fermions can be carried out by the present deformation. If we denote the original Hamiltonian with a vortex shown in Fig. 7(a) as $H^{(0)}_{\text{vortex}}$, a deformed Hamiltonian $H^{(q)}_{\text{vortex}}$ can be defined as

$$H^{(q)}_{\text{vortex}} = T_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q)^{-1}\ H^{(0)}_{\text{vortex}}\ T_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q)^{-1}$$

with

$$T_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q) = I_N \otimes \exp\left(\frac{q}{2}\sigma_x\right).$$

Here we consider a time-reversal invariant deformation. The zero-energy state ψ_q for the deformed Hamiltonian turns out to exist, and its spatial profile is obtained as shown Fig. 8(a). We can immediately notice that the

amplitudes reside not only on B sub-lattices but also on A. Note that the zero-energy state ψ_q of the deformed Hamiltonian is related to that of the original Hamiltonian via $\psi_q = T_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q)\psi_0$. Since the operation of $T_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}$ simply results in a modification of the wave function in each unit cell, which is uniform over unit cells, the spatial behavior (decay, etc) of the wave function is little affected by the deformation. Specifically, the decay-rate of the zero-energy state is unaffected by the present deformation, implying the size of the vortex state is insensitive to the tilting of the Dirac dispersion. It is to be noted that the bulk gap at $E = 0$ within a single domain depends on q as $\Delta E_q = \pm 2\delta t[(1 - \tanh q)/(1 + \tanh q)]^{1/2}$, which goes to zero as $q \to \infty$. The decay rate, which is independent of q, therefore behaves differently from the bulk gap. We have actually confirmed this numerically by the fact that the amplitude of the wave function for $q = 1$ (normalized by its value at the vortex center) and that for $q = 0$ are indistinguishable over several orders of magnitudes and the exponential decay of the wave functions is well-described by $\propto \exp(-(\delta t/t)r)$ with r the distance from the center of the vortex as shown in Fig. 8(b).

The present deformation for the fermion-vortex system clearly shows that the zero energy states obtained by Jackiw and Rossi [17], which are the eigenstates of the conventional chiral operator, can be extended to tilted Dirac fermions as the eigenstates of the generalized chiral operator.



FIG. 7. (Color online) (a) A vortex structure of dimer orders in the π-flux model. The amplitudes have $t + \delta t$ (thick solid lines), t (thin solid lines) or $t - \delta t$ (thin dotted lines), where $\delta t (< t)$ denotes the strength of the dimer order. The sign of the hopping amplitudes is plus (minus) for the red (black) lines as in the undimerized π-flux model. (b) The zero-energy state around the vortex center obtained by the exact diagonalization of a finite system (50×50) with $\delta t/t = 0.6$ are shown. The radius of circle is proportional to the amplitude at each site.

VI. SUMMARY

We have proposed an algebraic deformation of the Hamiltonian in which the generalized chiral symmetry is rigorously preserved. The deformation can be applied to a wide variety of lattice models with/without the translational invariance and provides a unified theoretical framework for the general two-dimensional Dirac fermions with/without tilting. By applying the deformation to conventional Dirac fermions on lattice models, we have indeed generated systematically the general tilted Dirac fermions on lattice models with the rigorous generalized chiral symmetry. Throughout the deformation, the zero-energy state is preserved as the exact eigenstate of the generalized chiral operator, where its wave function is given by a simple transformation of that of the original Hamiltonian. Since the transformation is uniform over the system, the spatial profile of zero modes are insensitive to tilting the Dirac cones. With such a deformation, we have shown that the zero modes of the fermion-vortex system can be generalized to tilted Dirac fermions as the eigenstates of the generalized chiral operator. A possible application of the present deformation to, e.g., realistic lattice models for massless Dirac fermions in organic materials with four sites in a unit cell [9–13] that have considerably tilted Dirac cones is an interesting future problem.



FIG. 8. (Color online) (a) The zero-energy state of the deformed Hamiltonian $H^{(q)}_{\rm vortex}$ with $q = 1.0$. Amplitudes around the vortex obtained by the exact diagonalization of a finite system (50×50) with $\delta t/t = 0.6$ are shown. The radius of circle is proportional to the amplitude at each site. (b) The amplitudes of the zero-energy state along x-axis against the distance from the center of the vortex for $q = 1$ (dots) are compared with $\exp(-(\delta t/t)(x/a)) = \exp(-0.6(x/a))$(solid line). The amplitudes are normalized by the value at the center for $q = 1$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work was supported in part by JPSJ KAKENHI grant numbers JP15K05218 (TK), JP16K13845 (YH) and JP26247064.

Appendix A: Energy dispersion and Time-reversal symmetry

The time-reversal operator Θ for spinless particles is given by the complex conjugation operator K. The original Hamiltonian for a bipartite lattice (8) is expressed as

$$H = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} a^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{k}} d(\boldsymbol{k}) b_{\boldsymbol{k}} + \text{H.c.}$$

Here $a_{\boldsymbol{k}}(b_{\boldsymbol{k}})$ denotes the fermion operator with wave vector $\boldsymbol{k}$ on the A(B) sub-lattice. The time-reversal operation thus yields

$$\Theta H \Theta^{-1} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} a^\dagger_{-\boldsymbol{k}} d(\boldsymbol{k})^* b_{-\boldsymbol{k}},$$

hence the time-reversal invariance $\Theta H \Theta^{-1} = H$ in the original Hamiltonian implies $d(\boldsymbol{k})^* = d(-\boldsymbol{k})$.

For the deformation with $T_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q) = \exp(q\sigma_x/2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta H_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q)\Theta^{-1} &= \Theta T^{-1}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q)\Theta^{-1}(\Theta H \Theta^{-1})\Theta T^{-1}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q)\Theta^{-1} \\ &= T^{-1}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q) H T^{-1}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q) = H_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q),\end{aligned}$$

which suggests that the time-reversal invariance is retained throughout the deformation. Note that $T_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q)$ is real, so that $\Theta T_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q)\Theta^{-1} = T_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q)$. For an eigenstate $\psi_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ having an eigenvalue $E(\boldsymbol{k})$ with a wave number $\boldsymbol{k}$, we also have

$$H_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q)\psi_{-\boldsymbol{k}} = H_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q)\Theta\psi_{\boldsymbol{k}} = \Theta H_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}(q)\psi_{\boldsymbol{k}} = E_q(\boldsymbol{k})\psi_{-\boldsymbol{k}},$$

which implies a symmetry $E_q(\boldsymbol{k}) = E_q(-\boldsymbol{k})$ for the energy dispersion.

For the case of $H_{\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}}(q)$, on the other hand, we have $\Theta T_{\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}}(q)\Theta^{-1} = T_{\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}}(-q)$, hence

$$\Theta H_{\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}}(q)\Theta^{-1} = H_{\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}}(-q),$$

leading to a symmetry $E_{-q}(\boldsymbol{k}) = E_q(-\boldsymbol{k})$. The time-reversal symmetry is therefore broken in this case, and the deformed Hamiltonian indeed has complex transfer integrals.

[1] A. Altland and M.R. Zirnbauer, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 1142 (1997).
[2] K.S. Novoselov et al, Nature **438**, 197 (2005).
[3] Y. Zhang et al., Nature **438**, 201 (2005).
[4] Y. Zheng and T. Ando, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 245420 (2002).
[5] P.M. Ostrovsky, I.V. Gornyi, and A.D. Mirlin, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 195430 (2008).
[6] T. Kawarabayashi, Y. Hatsugai, and H. Aoki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 156804 (2009); Physica E **42**, 759 (2010); T. Kawarabayashi, T. Morimoto, Y. Hatsugai, and H. Aoki, Phys. Rev. **B82**, 195426 (2010).
[7] Y. Hatsugai and H. Aoki, in *Physics of Graphene*, edited by H. Aoki and M.S. Dresselhaus (Springer, Heidelberg and New York, 2014), P. 213.
[8] N. Tajima, S. Sugawara, M. Tamura, Y. Nishio, and K. Kajita, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **75**, 051010 (2006).
[9] S. Katayama, A. Kobayashi, and Y. Suzumura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **75**, 054705 (2006); **75**, 023708 (2006).
[10] A. Kobayashi, S. Katayama, Y.Suzumura, and H. Fukuyama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **76**, 034711 (2007).
[11] A. Kobayashi, Y. Suzumura, H. Fukuyama, and M.O. Goerbig, J. Phys.Soc. Jpn. **78**, 114711 (2009).
[12] K. Kajita, Y. Nishio, N. Tajima, Y. Suzumura, and A. Kobayashi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **83**, 072002 (2014).
[13] M.O. Goerbig, J.-N. Fuchs, G. Montambaux, and F. Piéchon, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 045415 (2008).
[14] T. Morinari, T. Himura and T. Tohyama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **78**, 023704 (2009).
[15] T. Kawarabayashi, Y. Hatsugai, T. Morimoto, and H. Aoki, Phys. Rev. B**83**, 153414 (2011); Int. J. Mod. Phys.: Conf. Series **11**, 145 (2012).
[16] Y. Hatsugai, T. Kawarabayashi, and H. Aoki, Phys. Rev. B**91**, 085112 (2015).
[17] R. Jackiw and P. Rossi, Nucl. Phys. B**190** [FS3], 681 (1981).
[18] E. J. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D**24**, 2669 (1981).
[19] M. Nakahara, *Geometry, Topology, and Physics*, 2nd ed. (Taylor & Francis, 2003).
[20] Y. Hatsugai, T. Fukui, and H. Aoki, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 205414 (2006); Eur. Phys. J. Special topics, **148**, 133 (2007).
[21] Y. Morita and Y. Hatsugai, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3728 (1997).
[22] Y. Hatsugai, X.G. Wen, and M. Kohmoto, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 1061 (1997).
[23] C-Y. Hou, C. Chamon, and C. Mudry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 186809 (2007); Phys. Rev. B **81**, 075427 (2010).
[24] S. Ryu, C. Mudry, C-Y. Hou, C. Chamon, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 205319 (2009).
[25] C. Chamon, C-Y. Hou, R. Jackiw, C. Mudry, S-Y. Pi, and A.P. Schnyder, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 110405 (2008).